

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully request reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claims Status

Claims 1, 35 and 45 have been amended. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 11-13, 15-34, 36-37 and 39-44 have been cancelled, without prejudice. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 remain pending for examination.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Polcyn, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,865,258 (“*Polcyn*”) in view of Sibal, et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0182622 (“*Sibal*”).

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A method comprising:

receiving at a server computer system a client request from a client computer device via a network;

interpreting the client request including identifying a selection of at least one of a plurality of web interaction modes, each of the plurality of web interaction modes to perform interpretation of content being transmitted between the server computer system and the client computer device; and

identifying a web interaction mode selected by the client computer device, and performing speech processing based on the selected web interaction mode, wherein performing speech processing includes determining an active display element that is to be focused and identifying the active display element with its associated identifier, wherein the active display element includes an element upon which a speech input received from a user is focused, the speech input is received via the client computer device,

receiving an utterance from a user, via the client computer device, once the active display element is focused, and, if the utterance matches the speech input, transmitting the identifier to the server computer system so that speech recognition is performed,

performing speech recognition based on a relationship between the active display element and one or more speech elements, wherein performing speech recognition includes retrieving a synchronization relationship between the one or more speech elements and the active display element to compose grammar of the one or more speech elements, and dynamically correcting the composed grammar of the one or more speech elements using a real-time speech recognition based on the synchronization relationship.

(emphasis added)

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's characterization of the references and the pending claims. Nevertheless, Applicants propose additional amendment and submit the following remarks.

Polcyn discloses "the transcription interface may monitor the transcriber's activity and automatically adjust the presentation of data to be transcribed according to such activity" (col. 12, lines 18-21). *Polcyn* further discloses "the transcription application may determine the position of the cursor . . . [and] then identify the appropriate message segment corresponding to the transcriber's focus" (col. 17, lines 21-25).

Sibal discloses "interacting with content, such as web-based markup content, using visual and voice browsers." (abstract; emphasis added). *Sibal* further discloses "technique whereby visual browser and voice browser may be 'synchronized' with respect to corresponding HTML and VXML pages". (para. 0031; emphasis added).

In contrast, claim 1, as amended, recites "wherein the active display element includes an element upon which a speech input received from a user is focused, the speech input is received via the client computer device, receiving an utterance from a user, via the client computer device, once the active display element is focused, and, if the utterance matches the speech input, transmitting the identifier to the server computer system so that speech recognition is performed, performing speech recognition based on

a relationship between the active display element and one or more speech elements”. (emphasis added). Applicants respectfully submit that neither reference teaches or reasonably suggests at least the aforementioned limitations. For example, neither reference teaches “receiving an utterance from a user, via the client computer device, once the active display element is focused, and, if the utterance matches the speech input, transmitting the identifier to the server computer system so that speech recognition is performed” as recited by claim 1 (emphasis added).

Claim 1 further recites “wherein performing speech recognition includes retrieving a synchronization relationship between one or more speech elements and the active display element to compose grammar of the one or more speech elements, and dynamically correcting the composed grammar of the one or more speech elements using a real-time speech recognition based on the synchronization relationship” (emphasis added). As argued in a previous response, *Polcyn*’s monitoring of transcriber’s activity and the adjustment of the presentation data according to such activity is loosely based on the activities like the position of the cursor and does not include retrieving a synchronization relationship various elements to compose grammar of the one or more speech elements and dynamically correcting the composed grammar of the speech elements using a real-time speech recognition based on the synchronization relationship as recited by claim 1. The mere identifying of the *“appropriate message segment corresponding to the transcriber’s focus”* of *Polcyn* is **irrelevant** to the synchronization relationship and use of that synchronization relationship as recited by claim 1. Similarly, *Sibal*’s synchronization between voice and visual browsers is not the same as performing speech recognition includes retrieving a synchronization relationship between one or

more speech elements and the active display element to compose grammar of the one or more speech elements as recited by claim 1.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Claims 35 and 45 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect of claim 1, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection claims 35 and 45 and their dependent claims.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: July 14, 2010

/Aslam A. Jaffery/

Aslam A. Jaffery

Reg. No. 51,841

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, California 94085
(303) 740-1980